Increasing Efficiency of the Comprehensive Vascular Access Team Somali Nguyen, DNP, ACNP, AGACNP-BC; Allison Jones, PhD, RN, CCNS; Shea Polancich, PhD, RN; Terri Poe, DNP, RN, NE-BC; April Garrigan, FNP, CRNP, FNP-BC; Michele Talley, PhD, CRNP, ACNP-BC, FAANP

Background

- Blind stick approach is the traditional method
- Leads to multiple peripheral intravascular (IV) attempts which can cause delay in treatment and dissatisfied patients
- Ultrasound (US) has gained popularity
- □ CVAT created in 2017 to help reduce access delays
- □ 2018 CVAT received 2,200 consults for PIVs (25% of
- all CVAT consults)

Problem Statement

Registered nurses (RNs) are requesting a great number of CVAT consults for IV's as well as for help with difficult-to-stick patients, which is increasing the number CVAT consults. This increase in consults can lead to delays in medical treatment, increased expenses, and a decrease in patient satisfaction. The US guided approach for IV placement has gained substantial popularity in the hospital. RNs in the emergency department can learn the USGPIV insertion technique as an extension of their skill set that falls within their scope of practice, thereby decreasing the number of unnecessary CVAT consults. The goal is to reduce CVAT consult volume by 25%.

Method



Intervention & Implementation

- 1. Learn/See: (Includes pre-learning and in-class) a.Video instruction **b.Faculty-led instruction**
- 2. Practice: simulation-based deliberate practice in the simulation lab with the facilitator
- 3. Prove: Learner demonstration in the simulation lab
- 4. Do: Direct patient care with supervision

USGPIV Competency Checklist Name Training program Training year Task Review patients chart (as relevant) Pre-procedure Assess vasculature and mark needle insertion site Wash hands/Alcohol hand sanitizer Prepare site using alcohol wipes or chlorhexidine Prepare IV extension with flush Procedure Insert needle Obtain venous access: confirm with flash back and US machine Apply IV extension Check for blood return Secure the catheter in place Clean area and apply dressing Post-procedure Throw away sharps Wash hands/Alcohol hand sanitizer Document procedure/Patient keeper

Number of attempts at procedure _____

Assessment of performance Confidence (1: not at all, 3: average, 5:completely) Facilitator assessment of confidence: Self-assessment of confidence:

Competence (1: not at all, 3: average, 5: no further supervision needed) Facilitator assessment of confidence: Self-assessment of confidence:

Date	
Procedure/site	
Facilitator	

Incompletely Performed	Completely Performed	Notes

CVAT and ED RNs



Table 2. Statistical Analysis								
Shift	CVAT IV attempts	ED IV attempts	Total IV attempts	Statistic	p			
Day	186	26	212	Fisher's p 2-Tail	.000479889			
Night	155	63	218	Chi-square p	.00002074102			
Total	341	89	430					
CVAT, comprehensive vascular access team; ED, emergency department.								

USGPIV technique techniques



Findings

- □ 430 USGPIVs placed from June 1 to July 15, 2019 by
- □ 89 of these were successfully placed by ED RNs
- Decreased CVAT task volume by 21% (goal was 25%)
- p-value < .001 using Chi Square Test</p>
- Common-cause variation shown on control chart

Implications

- Continue to establish competency with UED RNs on the
- Have CVAT readily available for more advanced access

• Expand to other areas in the health system